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#### Abstract

Four simple, fast, accurate, reproducible, and non-sophisticated spectrophotometric methods were developed and validated for the simultaneous determination of chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium without preliminary separation in pure powder form and in their capsule formulation. Method A, is a dual wavelength spectrophotometric method in which the wavelengths selected for determination of chlorzoxazone were 259 nm and 294 nm , whereas the wavelengths selected for determination of diclofenac potassium were 294 nm and 242 nm . while method B , is a ratio difference spectrophotometric method in which the wavelengths selected for determination of chlorzoxazone were 280 nm and 230 nm , whereas the wavelengths selected for determination of diclofenac potassium were 246 nm and 284 nm . while method C, is the first derivative of the ratio spectra measured at 290 nm and 301 nm for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively. While method D, is the constant center spectrophotometric method in which more measured at 280 nm and 277 nm for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively. Regression analysis of Beer-Lambert's plots showed good correlation in concentration range of $2.5-20$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for both drugs with LOD $0.308 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.932 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, LOQ $0.458 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1.388 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, RSD 1.325 and 1.666 and $\%$ recovery 98.99 and 101.23 for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively in method A. Furthermore, LOD $0.307 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.373 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, LOQ $0.929 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1.123 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, RSD 1.065 and 1.371 and $\%$ recovery 99.94 and 101.53 for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively in method B. Furthermore, LOD $0.287 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.301 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, LOQ $0.871 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.911 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, RSD 1.214 and 1.596 and $\%$ recovery 99.73 and 100.26 for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively in method C. Furthermore, LOD $0.221 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.331 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, LOQ $0.521 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.825 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, RSD 0.914 and 1.412 and $\%$ recovery 101.22 and 98.59 for chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium, respectively in method D . The suggested methods were validated in compliance with the ICH guidelines and were successfully applied for determination of chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium in their laboratory prepared mixtures and commercial capsule formulation.


Keywords: Dual wavelength; Ratio difference; Ratio derivative; Constant center; Chlorzoxazone; Diclofenac potassium; Binary mixture.

## Introduction:

Chlorzoxazone (CHZ) figure (1), chemically known as 5-chloro-2benzoxazolinone, is a centrally acting skeletal muscle relaxant with sedative properties, and it is official in the United States Pharmacopeia [U.S. Pharmacopoeia,2011]. It is claimed to inhibit muscle tone and tension and, thus, inhibit spasm and pain by an effect primarily at the level of the spinal cord by depressing reflexes and subcortical areas of the brain [Badgujar MA, etal,2011]. Diclofenac potassium (DIC) figure (2), chemically known as 2-[(2,6-dichlorophenyl) amino] benzene acetic acid, monopotassium, is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), and it is official in the British Pharmacopoeia [B. Pharmacopoeia,2012]. It has analgesic and antipyretic actions, It is a potent inhibitor of prostaglandin biosynthesis by inhibition of both leukocyte migration and (COX-1 and COX-2) cyclooxygenase enzymes [Patel RA,etal,2014. Panchumarthy R,etal,2013]. It is used in the treatment of signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, and it has been shown to be effective in relieving headache in migraine attacks [Panchumarthy R,etal,2013]. The combination of CHZ and DIC is indicated for the treatment, prevention, control, and improvement of muscle aches, pain and swelling, dental pain, back pain, sports injuries, menstrual cramps, and other conditions by reducing the substances in the body that cause inflammation and pain and by blocking pain sensations that are sent to the brain [Badgujar MA, etal,2011. Patel RA,etal,2014. Panchumarthy R,etal,2013]. However, the literature review revealed that few studies have estimated chlorzoxazone and diclofenac potassium simultaneously by HPLC [Ahmed HM,etal,2020. Krutika P,etal,2021. Sakinala P,etal,2020], HPTLC [Ahmed HM,etal,2020] and spectrophotometric methods [Hegazy MA,etal,2018]. So, we aimed in this presented work to develop four simple spectrophotometric methods for the simultaneous analysis of the binary mixture of CHZ and DIC in their laboratory prepared mixtures and commercial capsule formulation.
Figure (1): Chemical structure of chlorzoxazone


## 2. Experimental:

### 2.1. Apparatus and Software:

Shimadzu-UV 1800 double beam UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Japan) with matched 1 cm quartz cells at $200-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ range was used for all absorbance measurements. Spectra were automatically obtained by Shimadzu UV-Probe 2.32 system software.

### 2.2. Chemicals and Reagents:

### 2.2.1 Pure Standard:

CHZ and DIC were received as a gift from Pharco Pharmaceuticals (Alexandria, Egypt) with claimed purities of $99.90 \%$ and $100.10 \%$, respectively, according to manufacturer certificates of analysis.

### 2.2.2 Pharmaceutical Formulation:

Declophen plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules (batch No. 210102) was manufactured by Pharco Pharmaceuticals (Alexandria, Egypt) and claimed to contain 250 and 50 mg CHZ and DIC, respectively, per capsule were purchased from local market.

### 2.2.3 Chemicals and Reagents:

Methanol, analytical grade (El-Nasr Company, Egypt) was purchased .

### 2.3. Standard Solutions:

Standard stock solutions of CHZ ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and DIC ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were prepared by dissolving 100 mg of pure powders into 50 mL methanol and the volume was completed to 100 mL in a volumetric flask with methanol, separately. Working solutions ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of each standard solution were acquired by transfer $10-\mathrm{mL}$ from standard stock solution into $100-\mathrm{mL}$ volumetric flask then complete the volume to the mark with methanol.

Aliquots ( $0.25-2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) of CHZ and DIC working solutions ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks, and the volume was completed to the mark with methanol.

### 2.4. Procedures:

### 2.4.1. Linearity (construction of calibration curves):

## Spectral characteristics:

The absorption spectra were measured for the prepared solutions at (200-400 $\mathrm{nm})$ against methanol as a blank.

## Dual wavelength method:

Different aliquots equivalent to $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}$ and DIC, were transferred from their respective standard working solutions ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) into two separate series of $10-\mathrm{mL}$ volumetric flasks and the volume was then completed using methanol. The absorption spectra of the prepared solutions were scanned ( $200-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and stored in the computer. the absorbance values at 259 and 294 nm (for CHZ) and at 294 and 242 nm (for DIC) were measured. CHZ was determined by plotting the difference in absorbance values at 259 and 294 nm (difference is zero for DIC) against its corresponding concentration. Similarly for determination of DIC, the difference in absorbance values at 294 and 242 nm (difference is zero for CHZ) was plotted against the corresponding concentrations.

## Ratio difference method:

The stored spectra were divided by the absorption spectra of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ DIC and CHZ, respectively where the obtained ratio spectra were recorded. The amplitudes of the ratio spectra were measured at 280 and 230 nm for CHZ and 246 and 284 nm for DIC. Calibration curves relating the differences in amplitudes of the ratio spectra ( $\triangle \mathrm{P} 280-230)$ and ( $\triangle \mathrm{P} 246-284)$ to the corresponding concentrations were constructed and the regression equations were computed for CHZ and DIC, respectively.

## Ratio derivative method:

The first derivative of the stored ratio spectra were recorded at ( $\Delta \lambda=4$; scale 10) and ( $\Delta \lambda=5$; scale 10) for CHZ and DIC, respectively. Calibration curves relating the ${ }^{1}$ DD amplitudes at 290 and 301 nm to the corresponding concentrations were constructed and the regression equations were computed for CHZ and DIC, respectively.

## Constant center method:

Construct two calibration curves relating the absorbance of the zero order spectra of CHZ at 280 nm versus the corresponding concentrations of CHZ and DIC at

277 nm versus the corresponding concentrations of DIC, and then the regression equations were computed.

### 2.4.2. Application to laboratory prepared mixtures:

## Dual wavelength method:

Zero order absorption spectra of different laboratory prepared mixtures containing different ratios of CHZ and DIC were recorded using methanol as blank and the procedure under linearity was then followed. Concentrations of CHZ and DIC in the prepared samples were calculated from the computed regression equations.

## Ratio difference method:

The absorption spectra of different laboratory prepared mixtures were divided by the absorption spectra of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}$ and DIC, respectively. The ratio spectra were recorded at 280 nm and $230 \mathrm{~nm}, 246 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 284 nm for CHZ and DIC, respectively. The concentrations of the drugs were calculated from the computed regression equations.

## Ratio derivative method:

The absorption spectra of different laboratory prepared mixtures were divided by the absorption spectra of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ CHZ and DIC, respectively. Proceed as detailed under linearity for both drugs. The concentration of each drug was calculated using the corresponding regression equation.

## Constant center method:

The absorption spectra of different laboratory prepared mixtures were divided by the absorption spectra of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ CHZ and DIC, respectively. The ratio spectra of CHZ and DIC were recorded at ( 246 nm and 284 nm ) and ( 280 nm and 230 nm ), respectively. The postulated amplitudes at 246 nm and 284 nm for CHZ and at 280 nm and 230 nm for DIC were calculated using the corresponding regression equations and the constant values were obtained after subtracting the recorded amplitudes of the mixtures and its postulated amplitudes at the specified wavelength ( 246 nm for CHZ and 280 nm for DIC). Multiply the obtained constant values of CHZ and DIC for each mixture by the spectra of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ CHZ and DIC, respectively, so the original spectra of CHZ and DIC are obtained. The concentrations of the drugs were calculated from the computed regression equations representing the absorbance of CHZ and DIC at 280 nm and 277 nm versus their concentrations, respectively.

### 2.4.3. Application to pharmaceutical preparation:

The content of ten Declophen plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules was separately emptied, mixed, and weighed, and an amount of this formulation powder equivalent to 0.25 g CHZ and 0.05 g DIC was accurately transferred to a $100-\mathrm{mL}$ volumetric flask. An amount of 75 mL of methanol was added, and the solution was sonicated for 15 minutes and allowed to cool
well, and then, the volume was adjusted to 100 mL with methanol and then filtered to prepare stock solutions of $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}$ and $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ DIC. The working sample solutions and different concentrations of CHZ and DIC were then prepared by suitable dilutions with methanol, and then, the procedures were followed under the analysis of laboratory prepared mixtures for each method and the concentrations of the cited drugs were calculated from the corresponding regression equations. When carrying out the standard addition technique, different known concentrations of pure standard CHZ and DIC were added to the pharmaceutical dosage form before proceeding in the proposed methods.

## 3. Results and discussions:

The aim of this work was to develop simple, sensitive, selective and precise spectrophotometric methods for the simultaneous determination of the components in binary mixtures with overlapping spectra without previous separation. The binary mixture of CHZ and DIC was chosen as an example for the application.

In the presented work, UV spectrophotometric methods; dual wavelength, ratio difference, ratio derivative and constant center spectrophotometric methods have been applied for the simultaneous determination of CHZ and DIC in their laboratory prepared mixtures and commercial capsule formulation.

The zero order absorption spectra of CHZ and DIC showed severe overlap which does not permit direct determination of the drugs, as shown in figure (3).


Figure (3) Zero-order absorption spectra of chlorzoxazone ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and


### 3.1. Dual wavelength spectrophotometric method [Fernandes N, etal,2008]:

This method provides a simple method for selective determination of both CHZ and DIC using their zero order absorption spectra. The principle of this method is that the absorbance difference at two points on the spectra is directly proportional to the component of interest, independent of the interfering component. The pre-requisite for this method is the selection of two wavelengths where the interfering component shows the same absorbance value while the component of interest shows significant difference in absorbance with concentration. Selection of the suitable wavelengths plays an important role; hence different wavelengths were tried such as 243 , 297 and 254, 298 nm for CHZ and 256, 294 and 235, 271 nm for DIC. Using the absorbance values at 259 and 294 nm (where DIC has the same absorbance) gave the best selectivity when used for determination of CHZ. On the other hand, absorbance values at 294 and 242 nm were chosen for determination of DIC where the best results were obtained. Calibration curves for CHZ and DIC were constructed by plotting the difference in absorbance values at the selected wavelengths for each drug against their corresponding concentrations as shown in figures (4\&5). CHZ and DIC obeyed Beer-Lambert's law in the concentration ranges of $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for both drugs with good correlation coefficients . Regression equations as follows: $\mathrm{Y}=0.0027 \mathrm{X}+0.0094$ for CHZ and $\mathrm{Y}=$ $0.003 \mathrm{X}+0.0077$ for DIC. Regression parameters are given in table (1).


Figure (4) Calibration graph of chlorzoxazone by the proposed dual wavelength method.


Figure (5) Calibration graph of diclofenac potassium by the proposed dual wavelength method.

### 3.2. Ratio difference spectrophotometric method [Lotfy HM,etal,2012]:

For CHZ, the absorption spectra of the drug were divided by the absorption spectrum of DIC $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, as a divisor, to get the ratio spectra, as shown in figure (6). The difference in peak amplitudes between 280 and 230 nm in the ratio spectra is proportional to the concentration of the drug without interference from DIC (divisor).

For DIC, the absorption spectra of the drug were divided by the absorption spectrum of CHZ ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), as a divisor, to get the ratio spectra, as shown in figure (7). The difference in peak amplitudes between 246 and 284 nm in the ratio spectra is proportional to the concentration of the drug without interference from CHZ (divisor).


Figure (6) Ratio spectra of chlorzoxazone at various concentrations (2.5-20 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of diclofenac potassium as a divisor.


Figure (7) Ratio spectra of diclofenac potassium at various concentrations (2.5-20 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) using $\mathbf{1 0} \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of chlorzoxazone as a divisor.

Calibration curves for CHZ and DIC were constructed by plotting the difference in amplitude values at the selected wavelengths for each drug against their corresponding concentrations as shown in figures (8\&9). CHZ and DIC obeyed Beer-Lambert's law in the concentration ranges of $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for both drugs with good correlation coefficients . Regression equations as follows: $\mathrm{Y}=0.0447 \mathrm{X}+0.0072$ for CHZ and $\mathrm{Y}=$ $0.8941 \mathrm{X}+1.2449$ for DIC. Regression parameters are given in table (1).


Figure (8) Calibration graph of chlorzoxazone by the proposed ratio difference method.


Figure (9) Calibration graph of diclofenac potassium by the proposed ratio difference method.

### 3.3. Ratio derivative spectrophotometric method [Abdallah, etal,2011]:

For CHZ, the absorption spectra of CHZ were divided by the absorption spectrum of DIC $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, as a divisor, to get the ratio spectra, as shown in figure (6). The amplitudes of the first derivative of the ratio spectra at 290 nm were proportional to the concentrations of the drug without interference from DIC (divisor), as shown in figure (10).

For DIC, the absorption spectra of DIC were divided by the absorption spectrum of CHZ ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), as a divisor, to get the ratio spectra, as shown in figure (7). The amplitudes of the first derivative of the ratio spectra at 301 nm were proportional to the concentrations of the drug without interference from CHZ (divisor), as shown in figure (11).


Figure (10) First derivative of the ratio spectra of chlorzoxazone at various concentrations ( $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of diclofenac potassium as a divisor.


Figure (11) First derivative of the ratio spectra of diclofenac potassium at various concentrations ( $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of chlorzoxazone as a divisor.

Calibration curves for CHZ and DIC were constructed by plotting the peak amplitude values at the selected wavelengths for each drug against their corresponding concentrations as shown in figures (12\&13). CHZ and DIC obeyed Beer-Lambert's law in the concentration ranges of $2.5-20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for both drugs with good correlation coefficients . Regression equations as follows: $\mathrm{Y}=0.1276 \mathrm{X}+0.0321$ for CHZ and $\mathrm{Y}=$ 18.409 X - 22.682 for DIC. Regression parameters are given in table (1).


Figure (12) Calibration graph of chlorzoxazone by
the proposed ratio derivative method.


Figure (13) Calibration graph of diclofenac potassium

## by the proposed ratio derivative method.

### 3.4. Constant center spectrophotometric method [Nassar MW,etal,2018]:

By applying the theory of constant center method, the original spectra of $\mathrm{CHZ}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\mathrm{DIC}(\mathrm{Y})$ will be obtained. In constant center method, the absorption spectrum of the mixture (CHZ+ DIC) is scanned and divided by the absorption spectrum of a known concentration of one of the components as a divisor, respectively, and the ratio spectrum obtained represents (DIC/CHZ) + constant or CHZ/DIC +constant.

The selected divisors should compromise between minimal noise and maximum sensitivity. The divisor concentration $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ CHZ and DIC gave the best results regarding average recovery percent when used for the analysis of CHZ and DIC concentrations in mixtures, respectively.

Ratio difference at two selected wavelengths was applied to the ratio spectra of the cited drug. $(\mathrm{DIC} / \mathrm{CHZ})_{1}-(\mathrm{DIC/CHZ})_{2}$ or $(\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{DIC})_{1}-(\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{DIC})_{2}$ where the interfering substance was canceled and subsequently show no interference. The only requirement for the selection of these two wavelengths is the contribution of the two components at these two selected wavelengths $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ where the ratio spectrum of the interfering component shows the same value (constant) whereas the component of interest shows a significant difference in these two ratio values at these two selected wavelengths with concentrations.

The two selected wavelengths are ( 246 nm and 284 nm ) for CHZ and ( 280 nm and 230 nm ) for DIC as shown in figures ( 6 \& 7).

For the determination of CHZ in the binary mixture, the zero order spectrum of the mixture was scanned and the ratio spectrum of the mixture was obtained using standard $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}$ * as a divisor where practical amplitude at 246 nm were recorded (DIC/CHZ* + CHZ/CHZ*) for each laboratory prepared mixture, while the postulated amplitude value of (DIC/ CHZ*) can be calculated using the equation representing the linear relationship between the ratio difference of ratio spectra at 246 nm and 284 nm (interfering component was canceled) versus the corresponding ratio amplitudes at 246 nm to calculate the constant value of CHZ via amplitude difference step using regression equation (1)
$\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2}=0.8823 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.0089 \quad\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=1\right)$
where; $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ are the ratio amplitudes at 246 nm and 284 nm using standard 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}$ as a divisor and DIC/ CHZ* is the corresponding ratio amplitudes of the ratio spectra at 246 nm . The constant value was calculated by measuring the difference between the recorded amplitude and postulated amplitude at this wavelength.
C.V $=(\mathrm{P}$ recorded $)-(\mathrm{P}$ postulated $)$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{DIC} / \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}+\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{DIC} / \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}\right)$
where, C.V is the constant value, P recorded is the recorded amplitude of the ratio spectrum of the laboratory prepared mixture using standard $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CHZ}^{*}$ as a divisor at 246 nm and P postulated is the calculated amplitude using the specified regression equation (1).

Multiplying the obtained constant value representing CHZ/CHZ* by the absorption spectrum of standard CHZ* as a divisor. The obtained zero order absorption spectrum of CHZ figure (14) could be used for the determination of its concentration in the mixture by using the corresponding regression equation ( $\mathrm{Y}=0.0551 \mathrm{X}+0.0154$ ) obtained by plotting the absorbance at its $\lambda \max ^{2} 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ and its corresponding concentration as shown in figure (16) and the regression parameters established in table (1).

Similarly $\operatorname{DIC}(\mathrm{Y})$ can be determined using standard $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ DIC* as a divisor at ( 280 nm and 230 nm ) versus 280 nm to calculate the constant value of DIC via the amplitude difference step using regression equation (2)
$\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{2}=0.0439 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.0178 \quad\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9997\right)$
where; $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ are the ratio amplitudes at 280 nm and 230 nm using standard 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{DIC}^{*}$ as a divisor and CHZ/ DIC* is the corresponding ratio amplitudes of the ratio spectra at 280 nm . The constant value was calculated by measuring the difference between the recorded amplitude and postulated amplitude at this wavelength.
C.V $=(\mathrm{P}$ recorded $)-(\mathrm{P}$ postulated $)$

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{DIC} / \mathrm{DIC}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{DIC}^{*}+\mathrm{DIC} / \mathrm{DIC}^{*}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CHZ} / \mathrm{DIC}^{*}\right)
$$

where, C.V is the constant value, P recorded is the recorded amplitude of the ratio spectrum of the laboratory prepared mixture using standard $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ DIC* as a divisor at 280 nm and P postulated is the calculated amplitude using the specified regression equation (2).

Multiplying the obtained constant value representing DIC/DIC* by the absorption spectrum of standard DIC* as a divisor. The obtained zero order absorption spectrum of DIC figure (15) could be used for the determination of its concentration in the mixture by using the corresponding regression equation ( $\mathrm{Y}=0.0591 \mathrm{X}-0.0713$ ) obtained by plotting the absorbance at its $\lambda \max ^{277} \mathrm{~nm}$ and its corresponding concentration as shown in figure (17) and the regression parameters established in table (1).


Figure (14) The final spectra of chlorzoxazone at various concentrations $(5,10,12.5,17.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ after multiplication by the spectrum of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of chlorzoxazone.


Figure (15) The final spectra of diclofenac potassium at various concentrations (2.5,5,10,15 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) after multiplication by
the spectrum of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of diclofenac potassium.


Figure (16) Calibration graph of chlorzoxazone by the proposed constant center method.


Figure (17) Calibration graph of diclofenac potassium by the proposed constant center method.

## Optimization of experimental conditions for the last three ratio manipulating spectra spectrophotometric methods:

Careful choice of the divisor concentration was of great importance, so different concentrations of each drug were tried as a divisor ( $4,6,8,10$ and $14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ); the best one was $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, as it gave better results in accordance with selectivity. The statistical parameters of the regression equations are shown in table (1) \& table (2). The percentage recoveries suggested good accuracy of the proposed methods.

The obtained results by the suggested methods were statistically compared with those obtained by the reported method [Patel SA,etal,2013], showing no significant difference with respect to accuracy and precision at $\mathrm{p}=0.05$.

The proposed methods were successfully applied for the analysis of CHZ and DIC in their pharmaceutical solid dosage form (capsule) and in their laboratory prepared mixtures, table (3) \& table (4). Statistical comparison between the results obtained by the proposed methods and those obtained by the reported method showed no significant differences as given in table (3). In order to compare the ability of the proposed methods for the determination of CHZ and DIC in their pharmaceutical solid dosage form (capsule), the results obtained by applying the proposed methods were subjected to statistical analysis using the one way ANOVA test, there was no significant difference between all of the proposed methods, table (5).

Table (1): Regression parameters for simultaneous determination of the studied drugs by the proposed spectrophotometric methods:

|  | Drug | Wavelength (nm) | Linearit y range ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Slope | Intercept | Coefficien $t$ of determina tion ( $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ ) | $\underset{(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})}{\text { LOD }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOQ } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHZ | 259-294nm | 2.5-20 | 0.0027 | 0.0094 | 0.9997 | 0.308 | 0.458 |
|  | DIC | 294-242nm | 2.5-20 | 0.003 | 0.0077 | 0.9997 | 0.932 | 1.388 |
|  | CHZ | $280-230 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 2.5-20 | 0.0447 | 0.0072 | 0.9998 | 0.307 | 0.929 |
|  | DIC | $246-284 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 2.5-20 | 0.894 | -1.245 | 0.9997 | 0.373 | 1.123 |
| -表 | CHZ | 290 | 2.5-20 | 0.128 | 0.032 | 0.9998 | 0.287 | 0.871 |
|  | DIC | 301 | 2.5-20 | 18.409 | -22.682 | 0.9998 | 0.301 | 0.911 |
|  | CHZ | 280 | 2.5-20 | 0.0551 | 0.0154 | 0.9998 | 0.221 | 0.521 |
|  | DIC | 277 | 2.5-20 | 0.0591 | -0.0713 | 0.9997 | 0.331 | 0.825 |

Table (2): validation data for simultaneous determination of the studied drugs by the proposed spectrophotometric methods:

| Method | Drug | Accuracy (\%R)* <br> mean $\pm$ SD | precision <br> repeatability | Intermediate <br> precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual <br> wavelength | CHZ | $98.99 \pm 1.312$ | 1.523 | 1.899 |
|  | DIC | $101.23 \pm 1.687$ | 1.122 | 1.754 |
| Ratio difference | CHZ | $99.94 \pm 1.064$ | 0.713 | 0.734 |
|  | DIC | $101.53 \pm 1.392$ | 0.528 | 0.832 |
| Ratio derivative | CHZ | $99.73 \pm 1.211$ | 1.151 | 1.715 |
|  | DIC | $100.26 \pm 1.600$ | 1.232 | 1.322 |
| Constant center | CHZ | $101.22 \pm 0.925$ | 0.945 | 0.875 |
|  | DIC | $98.59 \pm 1.392$ | 0.854 | 1.325 |

* Values for 3 determinations of 3 different concentrations

Table (3): Determination of the studied drugs in the pharmaceutical preparation by the proposed methods and statistical comparison with reported Q-absorbance ratio method :

| Method | Drug | Declophen plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules $^{\text {a }}$ (B.N. 210102) | Standard addition ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F-test }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & (6.388) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Student's } \\ \text { t-test }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ (\mathbf{2 . 3 0 6}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual wavelength | CHZ | $100.59 \pm 1.304$ | $101.22 \pm 1.235$ | 0.680 | 0.869 |
|  | DIC | $99.41 \pm 1.696$ | $101.52 \pm 1.321$ | 1.467 | 1.828 |
| Ratio difference | CHZ | $101.05 \pm 1.053$ | $100.521 \pm 1.024$ | 0.447 | 1.475 |
|  | DIC | $100.80 \pm 1.630$ | $99.56 \pm 1.058$ | 1.393 | 0.415 |
| Ratio derivative | CHZ | $100.94 \pm 1.200$ | $101.22 \pm 0.941$ | 0.579 | 1.289 |
|  | DIC | $99.37 \pm 1.610$ | $98.25 \pm 0.854$ | 1.321 | 1.933 |
| Constant center | CHZ | $100.85 \pm 0.917$ | $99.52 \pm 0.523$ | 0.338 | 1.291 |
|  | DIC | $101.04 \pm 0.705$ | $100.21 \pm 0.984$ | 0.262 | 0.232 |
| Reportedmethod(PatelSA,etal,2013) | CHZ | $99.79 \pm 1.595$ |  |  |  |
|  | DIC | $101.20 \pm 1.376$ |  |  |  |

a Average of five determinations.
b Average of four determinations.
c The values in the parenthesis are the corresponding theoretical values at $\mathrm{p}=0.05$.
Table (4): Determination of the studied drugs in laboratory prepared mixtures by the proposed spectrophotometric methods:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Chlorzoxazone }}{\text { taken }} \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Diclofenac }}{\text { taken }} \\ (\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Chlorzoxazone <br> found ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Diclofenac }}{\text { found }} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery of Chlorzoxazone | \% Recovery of Diclofenac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 10 | 10.11 | 9.88 | 101.10 | 98.80 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 9.98 | 4.97 | 99.80 | 99.40 |
|  | 12.5* | 2.5* | 12.40 | 2.53 | 99.20 | 101.20 |
|  | 17.5 | 2.5 | 17.23 | 2.54 | 98.46 | 101.60 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 5.05 | 15.23 | 101.00 | 101.53 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ \%RSD |  |  |  | $99.91 \pm 1.145$ | 100.51 $\pm 1.304$ |
|  | 10 | 10 | 9.98 | 10.10 | 99.80 | 101.00 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 9.98 | 5.05 | 99.80 | 101.00 |
|  | 12.5* | $2.5 *$ | 12.30 | 2.52 | 98.40 | 100.80 |
|  | 17.5 | 2.5 | 17.30 | 2.54 | 98.86 | 101.60 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 4.98 | 15.30 | 99.60 | 102.00 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ \%RSD |  |  |  | $99.29 \pm 0.636$ | $101.28 \pm 0.496$ |
|  | 10 | 10 | 10.05 | 10.20 | 100.50 | 102.00 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 10.12 | 4.94 | 101.20 | 98.80 |
|  | 12.5* | 2.5* | 12.40 | 2.53 | 99.20 | 101.20 |
|  | 17.5 | 2.5 | 17.20 | 2.55 | 98.29 | 102.00 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 5.05 | 14.95 | 101.00 | 99.67 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ \%RSD |  |  |  | $100.04 \pm 1.251$ | $100.73 \pm 1.430$ |
|  | 10 | 10 | 10.15 | 10.12 | 101.50 | 101.20 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 10.19 | 5.06 | 101.90 | 101.20 |
|  | 12.5* | 2.5* | 12.52 | 2.55 | 100.16 | 102.00 |
|  | 17.5 | 2.5 | 17.52 | 2.54 | 100.11 | 101.60 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 5.03 | 15.21 | 100.60 | 101.40 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ \%RSD |  |  |  | $100.85 \pm 0.800$ | $101.48 \pm 0.330$ |

*Ratio present in declophen plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules.

Table (5): One-way ANOVA test for the different proposed spectrophotometric methods used for the determination of the studied drugs in declophen plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules:

| Source of <br> variation | Sum of <br> squares | Degree of <br> freedom | Mean of <br> squares | $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between <br> groups | 22.343 | 9 | 2.483 | 1.360 |
| Within <br> groups | 73.023 | 40 | 1.826 | $(2.124)$ |

* The value in parenthesis is the critical value of " F " at $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.


## Conclusion

In this work smart and simple developed spectrophotometric methods were applied for the analysis of CHZ and DIC in their binary mixture. The developed methods do not need sophisticated instruments or any prior separation steps and so it can be used as alternative methods to liquid chromatographic methods in laboratories lacking the required facilities for these techniques for the analysis of any binary mixture without any limitation or specified requirements except that the two spectra of the proposed drugs should be contributing at the chosen wavelengths.

The proposed methods could be easily applied in quality control laboratories as they are having similar accuracy and precision compared to the reported method.

Dual wavelength method utilize zero order spectra without any division as in ratio difference method or division then derivatization as in ratio derivative method or division then multiplication as in constant center method so, it is the best method as it is not need several manipulation steps.
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# دراسة مقارنـة بين أربع طرق طيفية لتحديد المزيج الثنائي لكلورزوكسازون وديكلوفينـاك البوتاسيوم في وقت واحد 

راضي فتحي عبد الكريم

قسم الكيمياء التحليلية الصيدلية ، بكلية الصيدلة بنين جامعة الازهر بالقاهرة
dr.rady2008@azhar.edu.eg: البريد الاككتروني للباحث الرئيسي

تم تطوير أربع طرق بسيطة وسريعة ودقققة وقابلة للتكرار و غير معقدة لقياس الطيف الضوئي والتحقق من صحتها من أجل التحديد المتزامن لكلورزوكسازون وديكلوفيناكّك البوتاسيوم دون فصل أولي في شكل مسحوق نقي وفي الكبسو لات النجارية. الطريقة أ هي طريقة قياس طيفي مزدوج الطول الموجي حيث كانت الأطوال

 حيث كانت الأطوال الموجية المختارة لتققير الكلورزوكسازون •YA نانومتر و • •بז نانومتر ، بينما كانت


 لكلورزوكسازون وديكلوفيناك البوتأسيوم على التوالي ، أظهر تحليل الانحدار لمخططات بير-لامبرت ارتباطًا




وديكلو فيناك بوتاسيوم ، على التو الي بالطريقة أ.










تم التحقق من صحة الطرق المقترحة في الامتثال لإرشادات المجلس الدولي للمواعمة وتم تطبيقها بنجاح لتنقير كلورزوكسازون وديكلوفيناك البوتاسيوم في خليطهم المعد في المعمل والكبسولات التجارية.

الكلمات الرئيسية : الطول الموجي المزدوج. فرق النسبة. مشتق نسبة مركز ثابت. كلورزوكسازون. ديكلوفيناك البوتاسيوم. خليط ثنائي.

