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Abstract
Most of the liver diseases and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide is due to infection with hepatitis C virus. The developed new drugs in the last few years are promising, but patients response to such drugs are different. Therefore, to identify early the non-responding patients to SOF/DCV therapy for saving of medical costs and to guide them for appropriate treatment without wasting time, HCV RNA in conjunction with biochemical tests were measured during treatment (at week 4) for 100 blood samples from patients were positive for antibodies to (HCV), elevated liver enzymes (mean baseline serum ALT (±SD 123±2.7 U/L), RT-PCR baseline 355,000 IU/ ml. All patients were negative for hepatitis B virus. These patients were under treatment with DAC 60 mg/day plus Sofosbuvir 400 mg/day to give a predictive outcome of the extent of response or not to this therapy. In addition, the same analyses were performed after the end of course therapy (12 weeks) in order to compare the results of the predictive value. The obtained results at week 4 of treatment indicated that 95% of patients had been shifted to normal range for biochemical analysis measured in addition, viral load was decreased in 95% of patients, while at the end of treatment course (week 12) biochemical response was completely achieved in 98% of patients in a complete normalization ranges as well as, viral titer reached to the below detection limit. On the other hand, the rest of the patient samples still abnormal and classified as non-responding.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C virus is one of the most important viruses of the genus *Hepacivirus* in the family *Flaviviridae* (Simmonds et al. 2017). About 185 million people all over the world were positive for HCV and tended to develop hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and serious liver disease (Cox 2015). All over the world, Egypt recorded a high level of HCV occurrence (Blach et al. 2017). About 93% of HCV infection in Egypt due to Genotype 4 (Kamal and Nasser 2008). HCV transmission occurs when the blood of infected person contacts with another person through medical practices for transfusion or injection (Ali et al. 2011; Lavanchy 2011). Antischistosomal therapy is likely to be the leading cause of HCV transmission in Egypt through or reuse or sharing needles (Struthers 2007). Also, through the poor sterilized dental or surgical equipments (Mohamoud et al. 2013). HCV is classified into six major genotypes which vary at least 30% of its nucleotide sequence. This variation in genetics is a powerful selection mechanism for viral resistance to medicinal drugs or immune system evasion (Kim and Chang 2013). In long-term chronic cases, HCV infection is accompanied by fibrosis, cirrhosis, and ultimately, HCC which consider the major cause of death (Ballester et al. 2005; Struthers 2007: Ruane et al., 2015). More than 85% of Egyptians infected with HCV are survive, leading to chronic hepatitis (Hoofnagle 1997; Struthers 2007). The development of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) interferon-free oral drugs is the best substitution for interferon therapy (Muir 2014; Ruane et al. 2015). These drugs are more specific to HCV particles, and its mechanism of action depends on attacking the viral enzymes system responsible for RNA replication process, thereby inhibiting viral multiplication (Muir 2014). Some of these drugs improve the sustained virological response (SVR) to 100% with fewer side effects and short duration of therapy at the end of 2013, there were two new direct-acting antiviral (DAA) agents approved for the treatment of HCV infection: namely Sofosbuvir (SOF) (Sulkowski et al. 2014). It is effective and tolerable oral NS5B inhibitor with once-daily dosing for three months (Pol et al.,2016; Abd-Elsalam et al.,2017).

Another effective direct acting antiviral is Daclatasvir is an NS5A inhibitor with once daily dose (Pol et al. 2016). In genotype 1 or 4 patients who are supposed to be difficult in the treatment with interferon showed a high rate of SVR that due to a combination of SOF/DCV and also, improves liver function (Abd-Elsalam et al. 2017; Pol et al. 2016). This type of therapy represents a promised less invasive and novel treatment scheme, which presents a new hope to address and stop the spread of HCV in Egypt. However, non-responding patients to this treatment are known after the completion of course therapy (3 months) which leads to medical loss as well as, wasting time on these patients to receive the appropriate treatment so as not to worsen their health. Therefore, the main goal of our study is to predict early the responders and non-responders of Egyptian hepatitis C genotype 4 patients under a combination of SOF/DCV therapy via the results of measurements of biochemical and viral analyses (four weeks), and the comparison with the same measurements at the end of course therapy (12 weeks).
Materials and Methods

Patient’s samples criteria

This study was conducted on the samples from 100 patients who were referred to Menoufia hospital, from Menoufia governorate in the period from March 2016 to May 2017 with the following inclusion criteria:

All patient’s samples were positive for antibodies to hepatitis C virus (HCV) using a second-generation enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), elevated liver enzymes [mean baseline serum ALT (MSD 123±2.7 U/L)], and RT-PCR (baseline 355,000 IU/ml). All the patients were under Sofosbuvir 400 mg/day plus DAC 60 mg/day therapy. Age distribution ranged between 21-65 years (Mean±SD, 43±8.5), type of gender among patient’s samples was 43 males (43%), and 57 females (57%) and urban were 45 patient’s samples, and rural were 55. The exclusion criteria were: non-B hepatitis infection, no cirrhosis, and non-HCC.

Sample collection

Under complete aseptic technique ~10 ml venous blood withdrawn from the cubital vein and divided into three aliquots:

First aliquot: 1.5 ml of blood was added to the EDTA tube for complete blood count (CBC). Second aliquot: 1.8 ml of blood was added to citrated tube for prothrombin time (PT) and international normalized ratio (INR). Third aliquot: remaining of blood was added to plain tube and incubated 20 min at 37°C and then centrifuged and supernatant serum was used for biochemical and the viral measurements, including serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT), serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT), albumin (ALB), bilirubin (BIL) in addition virological analysis for HCV RNA (RT-PCR) to assess the quantity of the virus in the blood.

Serological detection of HCV

HCV-ELISA test (third-generation Murex anti-HCV version III, VK 47) Murex Kit was performed for all serum samples. Plate with 96 well coated with HCV recombinant antigen-loaded with diluted samples and controls was incubated for one hour at 37°C. Then the conjugate was added after washing the plate. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After incubation, the washing step was repeated, and then the reaction was visualized using a TMB substrate solution (colorimetric microwell substrates). The reaction was stopped using H₂SO₄. The color intensity was measured by spectrophotometer at 450 nm (Multiscan “Plus” DASIT SPA) (Courouce 1998).

Biochemical measurements

For SGPT or SGOT assay: Diammond Kit was use .briefly: 0.1 ml of serum or blank was added to 0.5 ml of SGPT or SGOT reagent (A) incubated for 30 min at 37°C, then 0.5 ml of SGPT or SGOT reagent (B) was added to the reaction, mixed well and incubated again for 20 minutes at 37°C. At the end of the incubation period, 5 ml of sodium hydroxide was added to the reaction mixture and left for 5 min at room temperature, and then measured at 546 nm (Reitman and Frankel, 1957).
For total bilirubin assay: Diammond Kit was used. One ml of reagent (3) was mixed with 0.05 ml of reagent (2), and 0.2 ml of reagent (1), then 0.2 ml of serum sample/or blank was added in the test tube, mixed well and incubated for 10 min at 20-25°C. Finally, one ml of reagent (4) was added, and the developed color intensity was measured at 578 nm (Burits et al., 1999).

For albumin assay: Diammond Kit was used. Ten (10) μl of sample and standard were incubated in two tubes then 2.5 ml of reagent (2) were added to each tube and mixed well, incubated for 10 min at 15 – 20°C and read the absorbance of the samples and standard against the blank (Gendler et al., 1984).

For prothrombin assay: Siemens (thromborel S) kit. One hundred (100) μl of citrated plasma was pipetted into prewarmed tube at 37°C, then incubated for one min at 37°C, 200 μl of PT reagent warmed at 37°C, then added to the tested plasma and press on timer to calculate the time for coagulation and calculate the concentration and INR (international normalization ratio) (Wagner and Dati, 1998). For determining the haematological parameters (Haemoglobin, white blood cells, and platelets), a quantitative automated analyzer system XS-1000i was used.

Viral measurements

RNA extraction

By using QIAamp Viral RNA Mini kit. RNA was extracted using a viral RNA mini kit containing [binding solution, Wash Buffer (1), Wash Buffer (2) and Elution Buffer (R)]. The procedure was conducted according to the instructions included with the kit. Briefly, 0.2 ml double distilled water and 0.2 ml of sample was transferred into an extraction tube, incubated in a thermomixer at 65°C for 15 minutes, and 10 min at 95°C. Then 0.4 ml of binding solution was added and mixed well to each sample. RTA Spin Filter was incubated for one minute with sample and centrifuged for 120 sec at 11,000 rpm; the flow was then castaway and RTA Spin Filter was transferred to a new RTA receiver tube. A 0.5 ml (R1) solution was added then centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 60 sec, the flow was then castaway, and RTA Spin Filter was transferred to a new RTA receiver, and the previous step was then repeated using 0.7 ml (R2) washing solution. After that, RTA Spin Filter was transferred into RNase-free 1.5 ml elution tube, 60 μl of a preheated elution buffer (R) to 65°C was pipetted onto the membrane of the RTA Spin Filter, incubated for 3 min, and then centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 1 min. Finally, the RTA Spin Filter was discarded, and the eluting solution containing viral RNA was incubated in ice (Kleiber et al. 2000).

Real Time PCR (RT-PCR)

The HCV RNA was quantified using the Stratagene’ Mx3000P quantitative RT-PCR system. The kit is containing reagents and enzymes responsible for amplification of targeted sites located within 5' noncoding region of the HCV RNA genome and fluorescence detector FAM (reporter dye) in addition to reverse transcription enzymes and MgCl2. A specific primer KY78 (5'CTCGCAAGCACCCTATCAGGCAGT) and KY80 (5'GCGAGAACCGTCTAGCCATGGCGT) targeting the 244-base region located within the highly conserved 5' noncoding region of the HCV genome. At first, reverse transcriptase was used to reverse transcribe cDNA from RNA. To achieve that, the reaction mixture containing the sample and transcriptase enzyme was incubated for one hour at 40°C. The next step was the activation of AmpliTaq gold for 3 min at 95°C.
The formed double helix was denatured at 95°C for 15 sec followed by annealing at 94°C for 5 sec, then the extension was occurred at 62°C for 10 sec leaving fluorescence endpoint detector. The detector intensity increases as the cycle's number increased. The computer software system connected to the apparatus permit real-time view and analyzes the plots during the run of PCR (Kleiber et al. 2000).

**Response definition**

**Biochemical response**

The biochemical response is a shift to normal values at week 4 during treatment and reaching to the normalization of all biochemical values measured after finishing the treatment course (week 12). While biochemical non-responders who were showed abnormal biochemical values at the two previous times of measurements. Biochemical normal ranges in our study were ALT (Alanine aminotransferase) ≤ 44 U/L, AST (Aspartate aminotransferase) ≤ 38 U/L, ALB (Albumin) (3.5 - 5.5) g/dL, Bilirubin ≤ 1.0 mg/dL after, and INR (international normalization ratio) 1 is the standard measure for assessment. In addition, the reference ranges of haematological parameters were HB (Hemoglobin) % unit (12-16 G/dl), TLC (Total leucocytic count) (4000-11000 cells/cmm), and PLT (Platelet) count (150000-450000 cells/cmm).

**Viral response**

The viral response was adopted as a decrease in the viral titer (detection limit of PCR test is 35 IU/ml), during treatment at week 4, while complete response considered as a complete absence of viral RNA in the blood of the patients at the end of course therapy (3 months). Non-responder patients had no marked decreases in a viral titer at week 4 as well as, a positive serum HCV RNA tests at week 12.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS software version 25, Chicago, Illinois). The methods used for statistical analysis were as follows:

**Descriptive statistics**

Mean Standard deviation (± SD) and range for parametric numerical data, while the Median was used for non-parametric numerical data. Standard deviation is the ideal measure of variability and is usually expressed as plus and minus values (±) to follow the arithmetic mean of the sample.

**Analytical statistics**

_Student t-test_ was used to assess the statistical significance of the difference between the two-study group means values of quantitative data.

_Mann Whitney Test_ (U test) was used to assess the statistical significance of the difference of a non-parametric variable between two study groups.

_Pearson Correlation Test_ was used to examine the relationship between two quantitative variables.
Results

Prediction of response to DCV+SOF therapy at week 4

Analysis of characteristics (biochemical and viral measurements) during treatment (week 4) and its comparison with pre-treatment baseline data of measurements may help in predicting responding and non-responding patients. Data presented in table (1) show the comparison between the results of expected responder and non-responder patients of biochemical, haematological, and molecular tests during treatment.

Table 1. Biochemical, haematological, and molecular tests after 4 weeks of treatment (prediction of responding and non-responding patients)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Responder</th>
<th>Non-responder</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 31.00 U/L (27.75-39.00)</td>
<td>66.00 U/L (54.75-89.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 32.50 U/L (28.75-36.00)</td>
<td>62.00 U/L (49.00-79.75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bili</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 1.00 mg/dL (0.800-1.10)</td>
<td>1.50 mg/dL (1.30-1.70)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALB</td>
<td>Mean± SD 4.11±0.46 g/dL</td>
<td>3.39±0.56 g/dL</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 1.00 (1.00- 1.02)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.14-1.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 12.90 G/dl (12.50-13.15)</td>
<td>11.85 G/dl (11.30-12.40)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 5100.00 (4537.50-5625.00)</td>
<td>4100.00 (3670.00-4512.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 215500.00 (194000.00-263250.)</td>
<td>144500.00 (138750.0-156250.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 61500.00 (31750.00- 136000.0)</td>
<td>257000.00 (129500.0- 364000.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After 4 weeks of treatment, ALT in responder patients showed significant reduction and dropped in concentration near to normal range recording about 31 U/L while, in non-responder patients showed minimal reduction reached about 66 U/L in ALT concentration (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Comparison between groups responders and non-responder regarding ALT during treatment

As well as, AST in responder patients was 32.5 U/L showed significant reduction and dropped to the normal range as a result of treatment with DCV+SOF. While in non-responder patients was 62 U/L showed a minimal reduction in AST concentration (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding AST during treatment

During treatment, bilirubin in responder patients was 1.0 mg/dL, which showed improvement by the treatment in contrast to non-responder patients whose showed a significant increase in bilirubin 1.5 mg/dL during treatment (Figure 3).
Albumin in responder patient was 4.11±0.46 g/dL with no tangible effect on synthesis of albumin by the liver in contrast to non-responder patients there was a significant effect on albumin concentration 3.39±0.56 g/dL with minimal decrease than showed in responder patients as shown in (Figure 4).

INR in responder patients during treatment was 1.0 showed normal range while in non-responder patients, INR was about 1.18 as shown in figure (5).
Figure 5. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding INR during treatment

In responder patients, haemoglobin concentration was 12.90 G/dl with no significant evidence for occurring of anemia while in non-responder patients, there was a decrease in haemoglobin concentration 11.85 G/dl as shown in figure (6).

Figure 6. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding HB during treatment

TLC in responder patients was 5100 slightly located between normal range during treatment while in non-responder patients, TLC was 4100 and tended to slight decreasing in number, as shown in figure (7).

Figure 7. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding TLC during treatment
As well as, platelets count in responder patients was in the normal range 215500 while in non-responder patients’ platelets count was 144500 and tended to cause thrombocytopenia with more decreasing in number as shown in figure (8).

![Figure 8. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding PLT during treatment](image)

From table (1) it was found that, SOF/DCV therapy showed marked decrease of viral load after 4 weeks who are expected to be responders to treatment and subsequently, they may show absence of virus at the end of course therapy (after 12 weeks) while in non-responder patients amount of virus still present as shown in figure (9).

![Figure 9. Comparison between groups responder and non-responder regarding RT-PCR during treatment](image)

The end-treatment (week 12) observation measurements

At the end of the 12 weeks of course therapy, the same previous measurements were performed on samples from the same patients for comparison the obtained data with the previous results obtained during treatment in order to confirm this prediction or not. The results presented in table (2) showed the comparison between the results of responder and non-responder patients of biochemical, haematological and molecular tests after the treatment course. Since these results indicated that, the values are moving in the same direction as well as, support the values obtained during treatment.
Table 2. Comparison between the result of responder and non-responder patients of biochemical, haematological, and molecular tests after the end of treatment (week 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Responder</th>
<th>Non-Responder</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 19.00 U/L (16-23.25)</td>
<td>47.00 U/L (41-55.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 17.50 U/L (14-20.00)</td>
<td>46.00 U/L (39.00-54.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bili</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 0.75 mg/dL (0.60-0.90)</td>
<td>1.19 mg/dL (1.10-1.35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALB</td>
<td>Mean± SD 4.17±0.38 g/dL</td>
<td>3.61±0.41 g/dL</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 1.00 (1.00-1.01)</td>
<td>1.056 (1.00-1.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Mean± SD 13.47±1.02 G/dl</td>
<td>12.88±0.84 G/dl</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 5450.00 (4870.50-6400.00)</td>
<td>5200.00 (4362.00-5825.50)</td>
<td>0.018 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 315000.00 (220000.0-410000.0)</td>
<td>14100.0 (138750-279000.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Median (IQR) Below detection limit</td>
<td>114500.0 (14000.0-215000.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 HS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT was 19.0 U/L in responder patients and its concentration return to the normal range, but in non-responder patients, ALT was 47 U/L with low significant different with responding patient values as shown in figure (10).

Figure 10. Comparison between groups responder and Non-responder regarding ALT after treatment

Also, it was found that AST returns to normal range 17.5 U/L in responder patients, but in non-responder patients was 46 U/L with a low significant increase in the concentration as shown in figure (11).
Bilirubin was 0.75 mg/dL in responder patients in normal range with 100% recovery, but in non-responder patients was 1.19 mg/dL with mild increase than in responding patients as in figure (12).

Albumin concentration was 4.17±0.38 g/dL mainly in the normal range, but in non-responder patients was 3.61±0.41 g/dL which located in the low normal range, as shown in figure (13).
After treatment, INR was 1.0 in responding patients with complete recovery rate due to coagulation factors produced from healthy liver cells, but in non-responding patients was 1.056 with mild prolonged value compared to that present in responding patients as shown in figure (14).

The mean of haemoglobin in responder after treatment was 13.47±1.02 G/dl, but in non-responder was 12.88±0.84 G/dl, as shown in figure (15).
As well as, TLC measured in responder patients was 5450, while in non-responder patients was 5200 which located in the normal range and without any marked difference between responder and non-responder as shown in figure (16).

Platelets count in responder patients was 315000 with marked increase than at during treatment, while in non-responder patients was 141000 with minimal decrease than in normal range, as shown in figure (17).
After the end of the treatment course, SOF/DCV therapy showed a great ability to altogether remove the viral particles in some patient samples who are responders to the treatment with a percentage of 98. While in the rest of the patients (2%), the virus found in their samples is not responding to this treatment, as shown in figure (18).

Of all the above, it turns out that the predictive response value during treatment at week 4 was 95%, while the non-responding was 5%. On the other hand, at the end of course therapy, confirmatory measurements were performed and exhibited a response value was 98% to the combination treatment, while the rest (2%) were non-responding as shown in figure (19).
Figure 19. Comparison between responding, non-responding patients during and after treatment

Correlation study

After the end of course therapy, the correlation among measurements was studied. The present study showed a positive correlation between PCR in responding and non-responding patients as well as, a positive correlation of BIL between two groups of patients, as shown in figures (20 and 21). Also, PLT between responding and non-responding patients showed the same type of correlation, as shown in figure (22).

Figure 20. Linear Pearson Correlation between PCR response, PCR no response
Figure 21. Linear Pearson Correlation between BIL response, BIL no response

While, this study showed that, there was no correlation between Hb, TLC, ALT between responding and non-responding patients as shown in figures (23, 24 & 25).

Figure 22. Linear Pearson Correlation between PLT response, PLT no response

Figure 23. Linear Pearson Correlation between Hb no response, Hb response
As well as, the current study showed that, there was a negative correlation between AST, ALB of response patients and no response as shown in figures (26 & 27).
Discussion

In Egypt, HCV and its related complications are the most endemic problem. The infection percentage between Egyptian populations is the highest compared to any other population in the world (El-Zanaty and Way 2009; Shepard et al., 2005; Omran et al., 2018). In the early stages of HCV infections, an accurate and sensitive diagnosis is important for the appropriate treatment (Simmonds et al. 2005). Daclatasvir drug is an NS5A inhibitor with pharmacokinetic profile with a once-daily dose (Pol et al. 2016). Daclatasvir is well tolerated with some mild side effects like a headache, which frequently occur (Pol et al. 2016). Another pan-genotyping effective and tolerable NS5B inhibitor is Sofosbuvir drug with oral dosing one time daily for 12 weeks. These drugs are more specific to HCV particles, and its mechanism of action depends on attacking the viral enzymes system responsible for RNA replication process, thereby inhibiting viral multiplication (Muir 2014). Therefore, the evaluation of biochemical and viral measurements during this therapy course may be used as a predictive result for evaluation of efficacy and expecting responder and non-responder patients. In our study, there was a marked decrease in liver enzymes occurred after 4 weeks of the treatment. ALT was entirely improved in some patients’ samples and these patients classified as responders, but other samples were in abnormal ranges, and therefore are considered as non-responding, and these results are in consistency with Deterding et al. (2015). AST decreased significantly at week 4 of treatment with target combination used in this study and returned to normal range in responder, but in abnormal values in other patient samples and they considered as non-responders and this trend of results are in complete accordance with the study published by Elsharkawy et al. (2018). This improvement in the liver enzymes was maintained until 12 weeks after the treatment. Infected patients with chronic HCV have abnormal serum liver enzyme levels most of the time; this occurs when liver cells are damaged, ALT and AST (proteins made by liver cells) leak out into the bloodstream and the level of these enzymes in the blood is higher than normal. However, the levels can fluctuate between normal and abnormal throughout the disease (Forns et al. 2002; Greenslade 2009). It was found that bilirubin is close to the normal range by week 4 of therapy in patients’ samples who are responders, and complete normalization was achieved by end-of-treatment course. Normalization of serum bilirubin by this combination of therapy, as shown by our results, are in
agreement with the study by (Mohamed et al. 2017) In addition, levels of bilirubin in the blood raises and decrease in patients with hepatitis C where bilirubin levels are usually normal until a significant amount of liver damage has occurred (El Guiniady et al. 1994). Moreover, backward leakage or decreased excretion of the pigment results in elevation of the serum total bilirubin level in a patient suffering from chronic viral hepatitis. As well as, INR value exhibited improvement during treatment in responding patients and with a slight elevation in non-responder but completely recover after a complete course of treatment in responders. After the course of the treatment, biochemical, and viral measurements of the blood samples from all patients were determined. Liver enzymes and viral load showed response which ultimately achieved in 98% of patients in a complete normalization ranges, and viral titer reached to the below detection limit. On the other hand, biochemical and viral values in non-responding patients still abnormal with a marked abnormality in all biochemical functions and viral titer. In the same direction, our results were consistency with Fontaine et al. (2015) who found the same rate of SVR after using of this combination (SOF/DAC) in genotype 4 for 12 weeks. Also, their study gives us an indication of the efficacy of this combination therapy in managing HCV patients (Fontaine et al. 2015). This percentage of response is supported by another study in Egypt which included 18,000 infected patients with HCV they were a high rate of SVR reach to 95%, and their study concluded that the drug combination used has a good effect in treating a chronic patient of HCV especially genotype 4 (Omar et al. 2018).

Conclusion

A necessary approach is to come to know and identify early non-responding patients during treatment. Which leads to saving of medical costs, and the most important is to guide these patients by their physicians for appropriate treatment and not to waste time so as not to worsen their health. In this study, we use the elevated liver enzymes and other biochemical and viral characteristics at week 4 of treatment to predict responders and non-responders to SOF/DCV therapy. The predictive value of response was 95%, while non-responders was 5%. On the other hand, the results of the confirmatory measurements after completion of the full course of SOF/DCV therapy (week12) gives complete response value was 98%, while the non-responders were 2%. Thus, depending on the findings of our results, testing for biochemical especially ALT and HCV RNA during week 4 SOF/DCV therapy, it can give us a predictive result of the extent of response, in addition, it is practical and beneficial to patients.
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الملخص العربي

يعتبر النوع الجيني الرابع من أكثر أنواع فيروس سي انتشرًا في مصر حيث تقدر نسبة المرضى المصابين به في مصر حوالي 43% من إجمالي المصابين بفيروس سي متفقًا مع أكثر دول العالم إصابة بفيروس سي وفي هذه الدراسة تم تجميع مائة دم من مائة من الأشخاص المصابين بفيروس التهاب الكبد الوبائي سي والذين قد أعطوا نتائج موجبة بواسطة الألزانا (ELISA) كما كانت متواضعة سبب 355,000 IU/ml. أيضًا كانت عينات هؤلاء المرضى ذات نتائج سلبية لفيروس التهاب الكبد الوبائي بي كما أنهم بسبب غير طبيعية من وظائف الكبد، وتبعهم بعقار مكون من سوفوسوبوفر 50 مجم مع داكلاتاسفير 60 مجم يوميًا عن طريق الفم لمدة ثلاثة أشهر وبعد بداية العلاج أجريت عينات من جميع المرضى وذلك بغرض معرفة نسبة التحاليل البيوكيميائية أيضًا للتكثير الكمي للفيروس في دم هؤلاء المرضى وذلك بغرض التنبؤ ب مدى استجابة أو عدم استجابة هؤلاء المرضى لهذا العلاج وقد أظهرت النتائج انخفاض إنزيمات الكبد إلى المعدل الطبيعي وكذلك انخفاض نسبة الفيروس في عينات هؤلاء المرضى بنسبة 95% من العينات وذلك بعد أربعة أسابيع من هذا العلاج. كما أظهرت أيضًا نتائج التحاليل البيوكيميائية وكذلك الكشف الكمي للفيروس بعد إنهاء فترة العلاج (دراسة مقارنة لحالة المرضى بهذا العقار) نسب تحاليل طبيعية لوظائف الكبد. وعانت نسبة الفيروس تماماً بنسبة وصلت إلى 98% من عينات المرضى أما باقي العينات (2%) فقد أظهرت عدم استجابة هؤلاء المرضى في كل من وظائف الكبد. وأيضاً أثبتت نسبة الفيروس موجودة في الدم وهذه النسب من المستجيبين وغير المستجيبين تؤكد الدراسات السابقة التي قد تمت على نفس العقار المستخدم.